



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/711,697

09/30/2004

Ronald G. Filippi

FIS920040188US1

5696

45094

7590

11/01/2006

HOFFMAN, WARNICK & D'ALESSANDRO LLC
75 STATE ST
14TH FL
ALBANY, NY 12207

EXAMINER

AU, BAC H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2822

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/711,697	Applicant(s) FILIPPI ET AL.	
	Examiner Bac H. Au	Art Unit 2822	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 October 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed on October 23, 2006 in which claims 1, 11, and 17 were amended has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-4, 7, 11-12, 15, 17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bu (U.S. Pat. 7094669).

Regarding claims 1-4, 11-12, and 17, Bu [Figs.1F-K] discloses a method of forming a gas dielectric structure for a semiconductor structure, the method comprising the steps of:

forming an opening [56] for semiconductor structure in a dielectric layer [54] on a substrate [10]; performing a dual damascene process to form an opening including at least one wiring opening [56B] and at least one via [56A] in a dielectric layer on a substrate; performing a via-first dual damascene process to form an opening including at least one wiring opening and at least one via in a dielectric layer on a substrate;

depositing a sacrificial layer [60] over the opening such that the sacrificial layer fails to substantially fill the opening;

performing a directional etch on the sacrificial layer to form a sacrificial layer sidewall [61A,B] on the opening after depositing the sacrificial layer; wherein the directional etching removes the sacrificial layer only from substantially horizontal surfaces [Fig.1I];

depositing a conductive liner [68] over the opening after performing the directional etch;

depositing a metal [70] in the opening after depositing the conductive liner;

planarizing the metal and the conductive liner [Fig.1J] after depositing the metal;

removing the sacrificial layer sidewall after the metal and the conductive liner are planarized, forming a void [72A,B]; that extends along a side of the at least one via [72B]; and

depositing a cap layer [80] over the void to form the gas dielectric structure.

Regarding claims 7, 15, and 19, Bu discloses wherein the conductive liner includes at least one of the group consisting of: tantalum (Ta), tantalum nitride (TaN), titanium (Ti), titanium nitride (TiN), tungsten (W) and niobium (Nb) [Col.6 lines 41-45].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2822

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 5 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bu (U.S. Pat. 7094669) in view of Cooney (U.S. Pub. 2004/0018714).

Regarding claims 5 and 13, Bu fails to explicitly disclose wherein the forming step includes depositing a hard mask, patterning the hard mask and etching the hard mask. However, Cooney [Figs.13-16] discloses wherein the forming step includes depositing a hard mask [106], patterning the hard mask and etching the hard mask.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Cooney into the method of Bu to include in the method wherein the forming step includes depositing a hard mask, patterning the hard mask and etching the hard mask. The ordinary artisan would have been motivated to modify Bu in the manner set forth above for at least the purpose of having a mask layer which would provide additional process flexibility in the formation of openings in the dielectric layer. Using hard masks is widely used and well-known in the art.

4. Claims 8, 16, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bu (U.S. Pat. 7094669) in view of Cowley (U.S. Pub. 2004/0058526).

Regarding claims 8, 16, and 20, Bu fails to disclose in the method wherein the sacrificial layer includes one of the group consisting of: aluminum (Al), silicon dioxide (SiO₂) and titanium (Ti).

However, Cowley [Figs.2-9] discloses the method wherein the sacrificial layer [34] includes one of the group consisting of: aluminum (Al), silicon dioxide (SiO₂) and titanium (Ti) [Para.27 lines 1-7].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Cowley into the method of Bu to include in the method wherein the sacrificial layer includes one of the group consisting of: aluminum (Al), silicon dioxide (SiO₂) and titanium (Ti). The ordinary artisan would have been motivated to modify Bu in the manner set forth above for at least the purpose of having a sacrificial layer that also functions as a gettering layer to remove undesirable compounds from the interlevel dielectric [Cowley; para.27 lines 12-16].

5. Claims 6, 14, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bu (U.S. Pat. 7094669) in view of Tsai (U.S. Pub. 2003/0077897).

Regarding claims 6, 14, and 18, Bu discloses the step of depositing the sacrificial layer, but fails to disclose in the method further comprising the step of depositing a non-conductive liner prior to the step of depositing the sacrificial layer, wherein the non-conductive liner includes one of the group consisting of: silicon nitride (Si₃N₄) and silicon dioxide (SiO₂). However, Tsai [Fig.2c] discloses the method comprising the step of depositing a non-conductive liner [250] prior to the step of depositing the sacrificial layer, wherein the non-conductive liner includes one of the group consisting of: silicon nitride (Si₃N₄) and silicon dioxide (SiO₂).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Tsai into the method of Bu to include in the method further comprising the step of depositing a non-conductive liner prior to the step of depositing the sacrificial layer, wherein the non-conductive liner includes one of the group consisting of: silicon nitride (Si_3N_4) and silicon dioxide (SiO_2). The ordinary artisan would have been motivated to modify Bu in the manner set forth above for at least the purpose of forming a protective layer to prevent via poisoning in subsequent processing steps [Tsai; para.17].

6. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bu (U.S. Pat. 7094669) in view of Te Velde (U.S. Pat. 4561173).

Regarding claims 9-10, Bu discloses the step of removing the sacrificial sidewall layer by etching, but fails to disclose in the method wherein the removing step includes etching the sacrificial sidewall layer using one of: a) water (H_2O) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH); b) water (H_2O) and hydrofluoric acid (HF); and c) hydrofluoric acid (HF) and hydrochloric acid (HCl); and wherein in the case that water (H_2O) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) are used as an etchant, the ratio of H_2O to NaOH is no greater than approximately 10:1 and no less than 1:1.

However, Te Velde [Col.6 lines 51-55] discloses the method wherein the removing step includes etching the sacrificial sidewall layer using one of: a) water (H_2O) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH); b) water (H_2O) and hydrofluoric acid (HF); and c) hydrofluoric acid (HF) and hydrochloric acid (HCl); and wherein in the case that water

Art Unit: 2822

(H₂O) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) are used as an etchant, the ratio of H₂O to NaOH is no greater than approximately 10:1 and no less than 1:1.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Te Velde into the method of Bu to include in the method wherein the removing step includes etching the sacrificial sidewall layer using one of: a) water (H₂O) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH); b) water (H₂O) and hydrofluoric acid (HF); and c) hydrofluoric acid (HF) and hydrochloric acid (HCl); and wherein in the case that water (H₂O) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) are used as an etchant, the ratio of H₂O to NaOH is no greater than approximately 10:1 and no less than 1:1. The ordinary artisan would have been motivated to modify Bu in the manner set forth above for at least the purpose of having an effective etchant with the desired selectivity.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bac H. Au whose telephone number is 571-272-8795. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zandra Smith can be reached on 571-272-2429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BHA



Michael Trim
Primary Examiner
10/30/06